

would like to note that ring magnets are used for the building of nuclear weapons.

The administration has overlooked a CIA report that described the Chinese sale of special industrial furnace and high-tech diagnostic equipment to Pakistan. The furnace and diagnostic equipment have dual use and can be used to melt plutonium and uranium for nuclear weapons.

Paul Levanthal of the Nuclear Control Institute said that the United States should be on the lookout for China providing Pakistan with heavy water to start up a military plutonium production reactor at Khushab.

Mr. Speaker, I would like for the administration to outline the Chinese policy on controlling sales of missile technology. Unfortunately, they cannot. As several sources have correctly pointed out, the Chinese have not established export controls that meet the international standards.

Despite the foiled Chinese plan and Mr. Levanthal's concerns regarding the sale of heavy water to Pakistan, the administration continues to look the other way. The administration will continue to support China's export of technology and ballistic and missile components to Pakistan.

The administration is willing to approve China's continued support of Pakistan's commitment to build a plutonium production reactor and a plutonium reprocessing plant. These facilities are essential for a nuclear weapons program. Despite the repeated protests by Members of this body, China continues to assist Pakistan in building a sophisticated nuclear arsenal. Unfortunately, this nuclear arsenal is not subject to international inspection.

I would like to remind my colleagues that Pakistan is not a member of the International Atomic Energy Agency and bans investigators from several of its nuclear facilities.

Members of this body have supported, and at times insisted, that China receive U.S. peaceful nuclear technology only if China halts all nuclear exports to nations with unregulated nuclear facilities. Last year, a letter was sent to President Clinton by Members of this body stating that China has not earned or behaved in a manner that warrants such certification.

The Arms Control and Disarmament Agency's annual report to Congress stated that while the administration could not stipulate a violation, questions remain about contacts between Chinese entities and elements associated with Pakistan's nuclear weapons program.

Last week I cosigned a letter with Members from both sides of the aisle, authored by the chairman of the Committee on International Relations, the gentleman from New York (Mr. GILMAN), that urged the President to prevent the delivery of reactors and nuclear technology to China. Many of my colleagues share the same concerns that I have outlined today. We are con-

cerned that the Chinese Government has not held true to its promise.

Many of my colleagues share the same concerns that I have outlined today. We are concerned that the Chinese Government has not held to its promises in stopping the spread of its own technology to countries that are trying to develop nuclear weapons.

Mr. Speaker, the Members of this body have continued to send a message that we will not turn our heads away and accept the Chinese nuclear weapons relationship with Pakistan and Iran. We cannot accept the assurances made by the Chinese government when it has failed to be a responsible member of the international nuclear proliferation community.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Maryland (Mrs. MORELLA) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mrs. MORELLA addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

HISTORIC PRESIDENTIAL VISIT TO AFRICA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the last couple of days I had the honor of joining the President of the United States in a very historic visit to the continent of Africa. For those of us who care very much for this emerging relationship, let me applaud the President and the First Lady for making the larger statement, the viability of Africa as a world partner, both socially and as well as economically.

The President's journey to Ghana, Uganda, Rwanda, South Africa, Botswana and Senegal, albeit a small portion of the 53 nations of the continent and certainly of sub-Saharan Africa, counting 48, was not only symbolic, but meaningful and filled with substance for the world as well as this Nation.

The coverage by our media that followed and saw fit to respond and report on this story overall symbolizes the changing attitude about Africa. The front page or cover story on Time Magazine and the commentators from local news around the Nation showed our country willing to learn more about Africa and willing to accept Africa for what it is, a brilliant continent, rich in history and great in its future.

It was important that my local station, Channel 13, traveled all the way to South Africa to cover this historic journey. My local paper, the Houston Chronicle, carried a series day after day on the President's visit and the importance of its opening the doors of opportunity and economic opportunity as far away as Houston, Texas.

I was very pleased to have the opportunity one on one to discuss in meetings with business persons, both Americans doing business in South Africa and Africa, and African companies who

wanted to extend the opportunity to do business in the United States.

I was encouraged by the attitude. I was greatly encouraged by the interest in Houston's port, and as well the noted recognition of the amount of business already done with our Houston port and the availability of doing more business with our port.

I was very much involved in discussing the ability of capital financing for joint ventures between businesses in the United States, particularly in Houston, particularly minority and small businesses, and South African businesses, and talking with business persons and owners of companies in South Africa that would provide for the financing of many of our small and minority businesses to engage in the right kind of successful business opportunities.

I am likewise very much encouraged by the potential opportunity for direct air routes to West Africa from Houston and other parts in the United States, and as well the recognition by the United States in making sure that our foreign policy is not trade instead of aid, but trade and aid, that we have the ability to respond to the great need of infrastructure, building and rebuilding, as well as the great health needs, particularly involved in the HIV ravaging epidemic in Africa.

Let me also pay special tribute to Alma Brown, who joined us in celebrating the opening of the Ron Brown Commercial Center in Johannesburg, South Africa. Her eloquent words and tribute to her late husband, Secretary Ron Brown, highlighted the importance of his legacy and message, joined by President Clinton and Secretary Daley and Congressman RANGEL, that we all must be committed to economic enhancement.

But needless to say, we must recognize the doors that were opened by Ron Brown's commitment to Africa and recognition of the kind of partner it can be on the world stage.

Let me say that this was not only an economic trip or a trip that would promote businesses and cooperative efforts between Africa and the United States of America, but it was one for social justice. With the visiting of Robin Island as well as the visiting of Soweto and Johannesburg, acknowledging the killing of young Mr. Peterson, 12 years old, in a 1976 uprising against apartheid, we knew full well the commonality between those of us of African American decent and our African brothers and sisters in the fight for social justice.

It was quite appropriate for our President to speak up eloquently on what slavery did to both continents and how in fact it enslaved all of us and how wonderful it was that we must move forward in the future, to never be shackled again by human bondage.

□ 2300

With that in mind it was very important that we spoke in Rwanda, as I close, Mr. Speaker, about the abuses in Sudan and other places in Africa

against human rights. We must stand for human rights around the world.

All in all, Mr. Speaker, this was an outstanding effort to raise up the bonding between Africa and the United States, and I believe it is only a start and we must continue to work together to make it a reality.

YUCCA MOUNTAIN MUST BE DISQUALIFIED AS A SITE FOR REPOSITORY OF DEADLIEST MATERIAL EVER MADE BY MAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Nevada (Mr. GIBBONS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, the proponents of storing nuclear waste in Nevada suffered a huge setback last week when scientists from the California Institute of Technology and Harvard University reported that the strain in the Earth's crust near Yucca Mountain makes it at least 10 times more prone to earthquakes and lava flows than government scientists previously estimated.

The study commissioned by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concluded that the ground around Yucca Mountain could stretch more than 3 feet over the next 1,000 years. While this may not sound like a great deal of movement, this distance is a distance that would easily crush any canister of nuclear waste buried there, exposing a wide area including the water table of the Southwest to deadly radioactivity and pollution.

When the original criteria for a long term nuclear storage site was created, the Environmental Protection Agency ruled that any site that would be stable for 10,000 years would be appropriate for a high-level nuclear waste dump. However, now this latest data shows that the ground around Yucca Mountain will not be stable for even one-tenth of that time. It is a sure bet though, if we give the U.S. Department of Energy a scientific reason to doubt the wisdom of storing high-level waste at Yucca Mountain, the agency will simply ignore the findings.

Nevada ranks third in the Nation for current seismic and earthquake activity. Earthquake databases indicate that since 1976 there have been 621 seismic events of a magnitude greater than 2.5 within a 50-mile radius of Yucca Mountain. The most notable event that occurred this period was a earthquake with a magnitude of 5.6 that occurred in 1992.

Now, the mountain ranges and valleys in the Yucca Mountain area are a result of millions of years of intense faulting and volcanism. With 33 earthquake faults and more than 30 earthquakes a year, Yucca Mountain is not geologically safe. Any nuclear accident at Yucca Mountain could send invisible but deadly radioactive dust across the Nation, contaminating everyone and everything in its path, since the winds blowing across the country move from West to East.

Mr. Speaker, on December 1997 an incident occurred near Kingman, Arizona in which a truck carrying radioactive waste had leaked from one of its nuclear waste containers. The nuclear waste canister leaks proved that transporting this refuse poses a real threat to our children and our communities. DOE's previous statement and guarantees made about the safety of transporting nuclear waste are now clearly irrelevant.

Their findings confess to four reasons why this incident occurred. First, containers were used for shipping after design flaws were identified in earlier container failures. Second, lack of understanding of the properties of the waste, specifically that excess free liquid would form during transportation. Third, lack of formality and rigor in contractor oversight between DOE Fernald and DOE Nevada. And finally, fourth, failure to provide the appropriate attention and oversight to these shipments because of the relatively low potential threat to public health and safety.

Acting Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management Jim Owendoff stated, "We are troubled by lapses in contractor management and DOE oversight, especially because problems with the containers had been identified on previous occasions."

These canister leaks were not caused by an accident or other large catastrophe. The Accident Investigation Board concluded that stress fractures caused the leaks in the shipping containers and were widened by vibration and wear associated with normal highway transport. Yet the DOE would have us believe that canisters that cannot withstand highway travel are impervious to earthquakes and other natural disasters.

When looking ahead to the possibility of canisters carrying high-level nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain, Nevada, canisters that carry 10 times the long-lived radiation that the bomb on Hiroshima released, citizens across this country must be protected, and cannot be threatened and endangered by canister leaks caused by simple highway vibrations.

Yucca Mountain must be disqualified as a site for a temporary or a permanent repository for the deadliest material ever made by man. The Department of Energy cannot safely transport nuclear waste, and this Congress wants to store the refuse in the third most active earthquake area in the United States.

Mr. Speaker, it becomes apparent that the lives of our constituents and their communities depend on the decisions we make on this floor. I encourage all Members and the American people to learn the true science surrounding this issue, for our children and their future depend on it.

THIS IS NOT THE END OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. FARR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FARR of California. Mr. Speaker, I notice the gentleman from Nevada, who is just leaving the room, arrived here almost 12 hours ago and began the session today. It is now ended, we are in special orders, and it has been quite a day.

This was the day we were supposed to deal with substantive debate on campaign finance reform. It is now 11 p.m. in the Nation's Capital. As I speak, here in the East they are watching the last minute of the national collegiate basketball championships. We have Members, as you heard earlier, that came back from Africa today; we had Members that spent the day in New Mexico. It has been quite a day.

But I think what is so shocking to me and to many other people who spoke today is that today, with all of these other activities, was the day we were going to try to adopt in this House a comprehensive campaign finance reform bill, and we had votes on bills. There were four bills up today. They were under extraordinarily difficult procedures. No amendments were allowed, no Democratic bills, there were not bipartisan bills on the floor. A vote was taken on the Republican bill, H.R. 3581, and that vote, I think after you heard the comments, people were not surprised that that bill because what it did was, it did not do campaign reform.

It tripled the total Federal limit from \$25,000 to \$75,000 that can be given to a campaign, it tripled the party contributions from \$20,000 to \$60,000, and it doubled the individual, which under present law is \$1,000, and would increase it to \$2,000. I think what this body saw was by putting more money into campaigns you cannot call that campaign finance reform.

And so this House in an overwhelming bipartisan effort rejected that bill brought here by the leadership of the House, brought here with the idea that this was going to be the most substantive bill on campaign reform, and as the vote was tallied tonight you saw that it got 74 votes in favor of it and 337 votes against it and one abstention.

I think that the tragedy is that, perhaps for a lot of people leaving tonight in frustration, was that now that we have been there and done that, that campaign finance reform is over. I hope not. The issue started in this House. It started when the President of the United States came and, Mr. Speaker, spoke right in front of the podium you are now at and asked this House to give him a complete, comprehensive campaign finance reform bill in a timely fashion. We missed the deadlines, we missed any action last year on the bill, and now we have a vote that has rejected a bad bill.

Let us hope that that is not the end. Let us hope that we can do several